

Effect of plyometric exercises on strength among the kho-kho players

Dr. SS Biju

Associated Professor, Head of Department, Department of Physical Educations, S.N College Chempazhanthy, Trivandrum, Kerala, India

Abstract

The aim of the study was to determine the effect of plyometric exercise on strength. Sixty male Kho-Kho Players (N=60) were randomly selected as subjects and their age ranged between 17 and 25 years. The selected subjects were randomly assigned into two equal groups with thirty subjects each (N=30). Group I experimental, Group II Control group the experimental groups underwent their respective experimental treatment for twelve weeks 3 days per week and a session on each day. Control group was not exposed to any specific training apart from their curriculum. Strength was taken as variable for this investigation. The pre and posttest were conducted one day before and after the experimental treatment. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to analyze the collected data. Scheffe's test was used as a post hoc test to determine which of the paired mean differed significantly. The results revealed that there was also a significant difference between experimental groups on strength ($P \leq 0.05$). Further it related that the plyometric training and plyometric training produced significant improvement ($P \leq 0.05$) on strength as compared to control group.

Keywords: plyometric training, strength, Kho-Kho players

Introduction

Sport has been a part of civilized societies throughout history. In some cases, as in Greece in the fifth century B.C, sport was of central importance to culture and has been studied and analyzed by scholars on many disciplines over the past 50 years. Most scholars agree that sport is a manifestation of play and that sports are institutionalized forms of play. Sport involves ritual and it involves tradition.

The very elaborations of sport, its internal conventions of all kinds, its ceremonies, its endless meshes entangling itself for the purpose of training, testing and rewarding the rousing emotion within an individual to find a moment of freedom. Freedom is that state where energy and order merge and all complexity is purified into a simple coherence of parts and purpose and passions that cannot be surpassed and whose goal could only be to be itself.

Plyometrics is a method of developing explosive power, an important component of most athletic performances. As coaches and athletes have recognized the potential improvements which Plyometrics can bring about in performance, they have integrated it into the overall training programme in many sports and made it a significant factor in planning the scope of athletic development. Plyometrics is a new form of isotonic training which became popular during the late 1970s and early 1980s. Proposed to bridge the gap between speed and strength, plyometrics uses the stretch reflex to facilitate the recruitment of additional motor units and loads both the elastic and contractile components of muscle and hence, plyometrics has been referred to as bounce loading or rebound jumping.

The word Plyometrics is derived from the Greek word *pleythyein* meaning "to increase" or from the Greek roots *plio* and *metric* meaning 'More' and 'Means'. Plyometrics refers to exercises that enable a muscle to reach maximal strength in as shorter time as possible. Plyometrics exercises are important in sports requiring high levels of speed strength (ability to exert maximum force during high speed activity) to complete movement such as sprinting, jumping and throwing.

Methodology

For the purpose of this study, 60 Male Kho-Kho players who had played in the senior state championships from different districts of Kerala were selected as the subjects. The age of the subjects ranged between 17 to 25 years. The subjects were informed about the nature of the study and their consent was also taken before involving them as subjects of the study. The subjects were later randomly assigned to a control group and to an experimental group of equal sizes. Sixty male Kho-Kho Players (N=60) were randomly selected as subjects and their age ranged between 17 and 25 years. The selected subjects were randomly assigned into four equal groups with thirty subjects each (N=30). Group I experimental, Group II Control group the experimental groups underwent their respective experimental treatment for twelve weeks 3 days per week and a session on each day. Control group was not exposed to any specific training apart from their curriculum. Strength was taken as variable for this investigation. The pre and posttest were conducted one day before and after the experimental treatment.

Result and Discussion

Table 1: Analysis of Co-Variance Done Among the Two Groups on Strength

	Control group	Experimental group	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F-ratio	P-value
Pre-test Mean	32.667	33.067	Between	2.4	1	2.4	0.080	0.799
S.D.	6.042	4.870	Within	1746.533	58	30.113		
Post-test Mean	32.8	37.133	Within	281.667	1	281.667	13.936**	0.000
S.D.	5.586	3.037	Within	1172.267	58	20.211		
Adjusted Post-test Mean	32.951	36.982	Between	243.354	1	243.354	80.641**	0.000
S.D.	0.317	0.317	Within	172.011	57	3.018		

** Significant at 0.01 level as the P-value is < 0.01

The Table 30 contains all the relevant factors related to analysis of co-variance done on the variable Strength. The post-test values are the values of the variable Strength, while the pre-test variable was taken as the co-variate. The P-value of 0.779 associated with the pre-test scores indicates that, there is no significant difference between the means of the pre scores of control and experimental group. Again a P-value of 0.001 associated with the post-test scores implies that, the post mean scores are significantly different. Further the said table do indicates an F-ratio of 80.641 on the adjusted post-test means and this do implies that, there exist mean difference on the variable Strength among the control and experimental group, as the P-value obtained has been 0.001, which is much less than 0.05, the level of significance set for this study.

Since, the F-ratio was found to be significant, the LSD post-hoc test was done, to find out whether there existed significant differences among the adjusted post-test means or not on the variable Strength and the details are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Lsd Post-Hoc Test Done on the Two Groups for Difference between Adjusted Post-Test Paired Means on Strength (Abdominal)

Adjusted Post-test means		Mean Difference	Std. Error	P-value
Control group	Experimental group			
32.951	36.982	4.031*	0.449	P<.001**

* The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

** Based on estimated marginal means.

Adjustment for multiple comparisons least significant difference (equivalent to no adjustment)

The above table do indicates a mean difference of 4.031 and a P-value of 0.001. This do clearly shows that, there existed significant differences in the adjusted post-hoc paired means among the control group and the experimental group. The graphical representation of the adjusted post-hoc means of the two groups are presented in Figure1.




Fig 1: Graphical Representation of the Pre-test, Post-test and Adjusted Post-test Means on Strength of the two different groups

Conclusion

The results of the study indicates that there was significant difference on Speed among the control group and the experimental group since, there was significant training effect on strength of the experimental group after the plyometric training programme.

Plyometrics is a method of developing explosive power and strength an important component of most athletic performances. As coaches and athletes have recognized the potential improvements which Plyometrics can bring about in performance, they have integrated it into the overall training programme in many sports and made it a significant factor in planning the scope of athletic development.

References

1. Aggarwal JC. Educational Research, (New Delhi: The Arya Book Depot), 1975.
2. Anita Bean. The Complete Guide to Strength Training, London: A and C Black Publishers Ltd, 1997.
3. Arnheim Daniel D. Modern Principles of Athletic Training, St. Louis: The Mosby College Publishing Co, 1985.
4. Baechle Thomas R. (Ed.), Essential of Strength Training and Conditioning, Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics, 1994.
5. Barrow Harold M, Man and his Movement Principles of Physical Education, Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1971.
6. Bompa Tudor O. Periodization of Strength, Canada: Vertices Publishing Inc, 1996.
7. Cooper John M, Mavlene Adrian, Ruth B Glassow, Kinesiology, (5th Ed.), (St. Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company, 1982.
8. Cooper John M, Mavlene Adrian, Ruth B Glassow, Kinesiology, (5th ed.).
9. Dick Frank W. Sports Training Principle, London: Hendry Kimpton Publisher, 1980.
10. Gardiner NE. Athletes of the Ancient World, Oxford: University, Press, 1930.
11. Grosset, Dunlap. Enjoying Track and Field Sports, (London: The Paddington Press Ltd, 1979.
12. Hooks Gene. Application of Weight Training to Athletics, Engle Wood Cliffs: New Jersey, Prentice Hall. Inc, 1962.
13. Janner JM. The Physique of the Olympic, (London: The George Allen and Unwin Ltd, 1969.
14. Jensen Clayue R, Garth A. Fishes, Scientific Basis of Athletic Conditions, (Philadelphia: The Lea and Febiger Publishers, 1979.
15. Johnson Barry L, Jack K Nelson. Practical Measurement for Education in Physical Education, New Delhi: Surjeet Publication, 1982.
16. Phillips Allen D, Sandra A. Street, "Sprint Assisted Training Programme", Track Technique, 1989.
17. Riley Daniel P. (Ed.), Strength Training (2nd Ed.), New York: Leisure Press, 1982.
18. Singh Hardayal. Science of Sports Training (New Delhi: D.V.S. Publication, 1991.
19. Singh Hardayal. Sports Training General Theory and Education Method, (New Delhi: D.V.S. Publication, 1991.
20. Blakey JG, Southard D. "The Combined Effects of Weight Training and Plyometric on Dynamic Leg Strength and Leg Power", Journal of Applied Sports Science Research, 1987, 1.
21. Delecluse C, *et al.*, "Influence of High-Resistance and High-Velocity Training on Sprint Performance", Journal of Medicine Science Sports and Exercise, 1995; 27(8).
22. Echer Tom. "Improving Sprinting Speed through Strength Training", Athletic Journal, 1975, 65.
23. Fatouros JG, Jamurtas A, Buckenmoyer P. "Effects of Plyometric Training and Weight Training on Force-Power Parameters of Vertical Jumping", Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 1992; 24(5).
24. Gehri Daniel J, *et al.*, "A Comparison of Plyometric Training Techniques for Improving Vertical Jump Ability and Energy Production", Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 1998; 12(2).
25. Hisaeda, *et al.*, "Influence of Two Different Modes of Resistance Training in Female Subjects", Ergonomics, 1996; 39(6).
26. Holcomb William R, *et al.*, "The Effectiveness of a Modified Plyometric Program on Power and Vertical Jump", Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 1996; 10(2).
27. Staly Charles. "Quality Strength for Human Athletic Performance", Mesomorphosis.com, 1998, 9.
28. Stevens WS. "A Comparison of the Effects of Depth Jumping and Regular Jumping on the Vertical Jump Performance of Male High School Students", Completed Research in Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance, 1992, 34.